

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,484	RAWNICK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seungsook Ham	2817	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

 (1) Seungsook Ham.

(3) _____.

 (2) Robert J. Sacco.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1, 16, 17 and 30


Prior art documents discussed:
 Moller (US '235)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)